

Notice: This opinion is subject to formal revision before publication in the Atlantic and Maryland Reporters. Users are requested to notify the Clerk of the Court of any formal errors so that corrections may be made before the bound volumes go to press.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURT OF APPEALS

No. 13-BG-334

IN RE: MARTA M. BERTOLA,
Respondent.

Bar Registration No. 447898

BDN: 34-13

BEFORE: Glickman, Associate Judge, and Terry and Steadman, Senior Judges.

ORDER

(FILED - June 13, 2013)

On consideration of the certified order indefinitely suspending respondent from the practice of law in the state of Maryland, with the right to seek reinstatement after 60 days, *see Attorney Grievance Com'n of Maryland v. Bertola*, 58 A.3d 1151 (Md. 2013), this court's April 12, 2013, order suspending respondent pending further action of the court and directing her to show cause why the reciprocal discipline of a 60 day suspension with fitness should not be imposed, and the statement of Bar Counsel regarding reciprocal discipline, and it appearing that respondent has failed to file a response to this court's order to show cause or file an affidavit as required by D.C. Bar R. XI, §14 (g), it is

ORDERED that Marta M. Bertola is hereby suspended from the practice of law in the District of Columbia for a period of 60 days with reinstatement contingent upon a showing of fitness. *See, In re Sibley*, 990 A.2d 483 (D.C. 2010), and *In re Fuller*, 930 A.2d 194, 198 (D.C. 2007). It is

FURTHER ORDERED that for purposes of reinstatement respondent's period of suspension will not begin to run until such time as she files an affidavit that fully complies with the requirements of D.C. Bar. R. XI, § 14 (g).

PER CURIAM