

*Notice: This opinion is subject to formal revision before publication in the Atlantic and Maryland Reporters. Users are requested to notify the Clerk of the Court of any formal errors so that corrections may be made before the bound volumes go to press.*

**DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURT OF APPEALS**

**No. 13-BG-279**

IN RE: MICHAEL LAWRENCE EISNER,  
Respondent.

**Bar Registration No. 987138**

**BDN: 85-13**

BEFORE: Glickman, Associate Judge, and Terry and Steadman, Senior Judges.

**ORDER**

(FILED - June 13, 2013)

On consideration of the certified order revoking respondent's license to practice law in the state of Virginia, this court's April 3, 2013, order suspending respondent pending further action of the court and directing him to show cause why the reciprocal discipline of disbarment should not be imposed, and the statement of Bar Counsel regarding reciprocal discipline, and it appearing that respondent has failed to file a response to this court's order to show cause or the affidavit required by D.C. Bar R. XI, §14 (g), it is

ORDERED that Michael Lawrence Eisner is hereby disbarred from the practice of law in the District of Columbia. *See In re Bogollagama*, 979 A.2d 629 (D.C. 2009) (the functional equivalent discipline to the revocation of one's license to practice law is disbarment); *see also In re Sibley*, 990 A.2d 483 (D.C. 2010), and *In re Fuller*, 930 A.2d 194, 198 (D.C. 2007) (rebuttable presumption of identical reciprocal discipline applies to all cases in which the respondent does not participate). It is

FURTHER ORDERED that for purposes of reinstatement the period of respondent's disbarment will not begin to run until such time as he files an affidavit that fully complies with the requirements of D.C. Bar. R. XI, § 14 (g).

**PER CURIAM**

