

Notice: This opinion is subject to formal revision before publication in the Atlantic and Maryland Reporters. Users are requested to notify the Clerk of the Court of any formal errors so that corrections may be made before the bound volumes go to press.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURT OF APPEALS

No. 09-BG-749

IN RE: RICHARD J. HAAS,
Respondent.

Bar Registration No. 955039

BDN: 110-09

BEFORE: Reid and Oberly, Associate Judges; and Newman, Senior Judge.

ORDER

(FILED - October 1, 2009)

On consideration of the New York Supreme Court, Appellate Division Order suspending respondent from the practice of law in that jurisdiction *see Matter of Haas*, 3 A.D.3d 732, 770 N.Y.S.2d 663 [2004], this court's July 21, 2009, order suspending respondent from the practice of law in this jurisdiction pending further action of the court and directing him to show cause why identical reciprocal discipline should not be imposed, respondent's failure to respond to that order, and the statement of Bar Counsel regarding reciprocal discipline; and it appearing that respondent has failed to file the affidavit required by D.C. Bar R. XI, §14 (g), it is

ORDERED that Richard J. Haas, is hereby suspended from the practice of law in the District of Columbia for a period of three years with reinstatement conditioned on a showing of fitness. *See* Rules of Prof. Conduct, 1.13 (duty to represent client diligently) & 1.15 (a) (safekeeping property); *In re Fair*, 780 A.2d 1106 (D.C. 2001); *In re Belli*, 766 A.2d 526, 527 (D.C. 2001). It is

FURTHER ORDERED that for purposes of reinstatement respondent's suspension will not begin to run until such time as he files an affidavit that fully complies with the requirements of D.C. Bar. R. XI, § 14 (g).

PER CURIAM